	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 1-18-01122-ess
4	Adv. Case No. 1-10-50719-ess
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,
9	
10	Debtor.
11	x
12	OLUFUNMILAYO AJASA,
13	Plaintiff,
14	v.
15	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,
16	Defendant.
17	x
18	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
19	271-C Cadman Plaza
20	Brooklyn, New York 11201-1800
21	
22	February 28, 2019
23	3:18 PM
24	
25	

```
Page 2
1
     BEFORE:
2
     HON ELIZABETH S. STONG
 3
    U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 52
1	Now, that's not to say that they couldn't bring a class
2	action in another district on behalf of another debtor. We
3	just
4	THE COURT: So the idea is 94 class actions in 94
5	districts? Are we really better off?
6	MR. SHAW: We don't think it's a question,
7	frankly, of better off or efficiency, because that's not
8	we don't think that that's the analysis. We think it's the
9	
10	THE COURT: Well, you don't think it's subject
11	matter jurisdiction.
12	MR. SHAW: We think it is I'm holding the
13	subject matter jurisdiction. I think it is a
14	THE COURT: I understand that you don't want to
15	acknowledge that it is or isn't, and we're conferencing on
16	the issues. So
17	MR. SHAW: Right, but it
18	THE COURT: both the parties and the Court can
19	reserve their respective prerogatives to ask more questions
20	and give additional answers at the appropriate time.
21	MR. SHAW: In our view, it's a matter of
22	authority. You know, in the Echevarria case, for example,
23	that we settled, we filed a motion which I'll draw a
24	reference to allow for the District Court judge to approve
25	the settlement of a nationwide class, and there were